

References

1. Belenky, A., *Understanding the Fundamentals of the U.S. Presidential Election System*. Springer, Heidelberg, New York, Dordrecht, London, 2012.
2. Broder, D., Electoral “Fixes,” the Washington Post, October 21, 2004; Page A29.
3. Why the Electoral College Is So Hard to Understand, June 29, 2014 <http://bibowen.hubpages.com/hub/founders-electoral-college>
4. Fortier, J. (ed) *After the People Vote: a Guide to the Electoral College*, AEI Press, Washington D.C., 2004.
5. Koza, J., Fadem, B., Grueskin, M., Mandell, M., Richie, R., Zimmerman, J., *Every Vote Equal: A State-Based Plan For Electing The President By National Popular Vote*, National Popular Vote Press, 2011.
6. Peirce, N., *The People’s President. The Electoral College in American History and the Direct-Vote Alternative*, Simon & Shuster, New York, 1968.
7. Peirce, N., Longley, L., *The People’s President. The Electoral College in American History and the Direct-Vote Alternative*. Revised Edition., Yale University Press, 1981.
8. Edwards III, G. *Why the Electoral College Is Bad for America*. Yale University Press, 2004.
9. Schumaker, P., Loomis B. (ed). *Choosing a President. The Electoral College and Beyond*. Chatham House Publishers, Seven Bridges Press, LLC, New York, London, 2002.
10. Hardaway, R *The Electoral College and the Constitution: The Case for Preserving Federalism*. Praeger Publishers, Westport, CT, 1994.
11. Bennett, R. *Taming the Electoral College*. Stanford Law and Politics, 2006.
12. Ross, T. *Is Pennsylvania hijacking the presidential election?* National Review Online, September 16, 2011.
13. Natapoff, A. *Stop plan to diminish Marylanders’ voting power*, The Baltimore Sun, April 5, 2007
14. Natapoff, A. *Math against tyranny*, Discover Magazine, November 1996.
15. Hamilton, A., Madison, J., Jay, J., *The Federalist Papers*. SoHo Books, 2011.
16. Vile J. (ed.) *The Constitutional Convention of 1787: A Comprehensive Encyclopedia of America’s Founding*, volume 1, ABC-CLIO, Santa Barbara, CA, 2005.
17. McClanahan B., *The Founding Fathers. Guide to the Constitution*. Regnery History; 1st edition 2012.
18. Belenky, A., *How America Chooses Its Presidents*. Second Edition, AuthorHouse, Bloomington & Milton Keynes, 2009.
19. *The Constitution of the United States of America—1787*. United States Code, Volume 1. United States Government Printing Office, Washington, 1989.
20. Proffitt, W., *Let’s abolish the U.S. Senate*, Herald Tribune, December 19, 2009.
21. Amar, V., Amar, A., *The Electoral College Votes Against Equality*, Los Angeles Times, September 8, 2004.

22. Belenky, A., *Extreme Outcomes of US Presidential Elections: The Logic of Appearance, Examples, Approaches to Eliminating NISTRAMAN Consulting*, Brookline, MA, 2003.
23. Belenky, A., The solvability of a set partitioning problem and a logical mistake in Article 2 of the U.S. Constitution \ *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* \ 40, p. 1–3, 2004.
24. *Ray v. Blair*, 343 U.S. 214 United States Government Printing Office, Washington, 1953,
25. *McPherson v. Blacker*, 146 U.S. 1 United States Government Printing Office, Washington, 1953.
26. Belenky, A., An elementary analysis of some mathematical concepts employed in and relations associated with Amendment 12 of the U.S. Constitution, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 39, (2-3), p. 123-132, 2004.
27. Kimberling, W., *The Electoral College*. National Clearinghouse in Election Administration. Federal Election Committee., 1992.
28. *Maine Revised Statutes Annotated*, Title 21-A, Chapter 9, Subchapter 5 West Group, West Publishing Company, 1964.
29. *Nebraska Revised State Statutes*, 32-1038, 32-714 Reviser of Statutes, State of Nebraska, 2000.
30. Belenky, A. District vote proposal falls short, *The Baltimore Sun*, December 11, 2007.
31. Leip, D. Dave Leip's Atlas of U.S. Presidential Elections, <http://us-electionatlas.org/>
32. Belenky, A. The good, the bad, and the ugly: three proposals to introduce the nationwide popular vote in U.S. presidential elections, *Michigan Law Review*, 106, p.110-116, February, 2008.
33. Belenky, A. Alexander S. Belenky: Brittle corner stones of national popular vote plan \ *Providence Journal*, April 11, 2009.
34. Presidential Succession Act (Amended) http://www.doctorzebra.com/prez/a_act1947now.htm
35. Parker, J., Tie Vote? Obama/McCain Electoral Tie Scenario., *ABC News*, July 17, 2008
36. *The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language*. Fifth Edition. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2011.
37. Belenky, A., Dick Cheney the next President? \ *Times Argus* \ November 2, 2008
38. Davis, S., Corwin and Peltason's *Understanding the Constitution*. Wadsworth Publishing, Seventeenth Edition, 2007.
39. Cooke, E., *A Detailed Analysis of the Constitution (Seventh Edition)*, Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc., Lanham, MD, 2002.
40. Davis, C., *The President Pro Tempore of the Senate: History and Authority of the Office*. CRS Report for Congress, June 30, 2010.
41. Polya, G., The minimum fraction of the popular vote that can elect the President of the United States, *Mathematical Teacher*, 54, p. 130-133, 1961.
42. Barnett, A., Selecting the nation's CEO: a risk assessment of the Electoral College, *Journal of Managerial Issues*, 11, p. 357-370, 1990.
43. Belenky, A., A 0-1 knapsack model for evaluating the possible Electoral College performance in two-party U.S. presidential elections, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* 48, (5-6), p. 665-676, 2008.
44. Miller, N. In *A priori voting power and the U.S. Electoral College*, Power, Voting, and Voting Power, p. 411–442, Springer; 2013.
45. Banzhaf III, J. One man, 3.312 votes: a mathematical analysis of the Electoral College, *Villanova Law Review* 13, p. 304-332, 1968.
46. Mann, I. and Shapley, L. Values of Large Games, IV: Evaluating the Electoral College by Monte-Carlo Techniques, RAND Corporation Memorandum, RM-2651, 1960.
47. Gelman, A., Katz, J., Tuerlinckx, F. The mathematics and statistics of voting power, *Statistical Science*, 17, (4), p. 420-434, 2002.
48. Belenky, A., *Winning the US Presidency: Rules of the Game and Playing by the Rules*, NISTRAMAN Consulting, Brookline, MA, 2004.

49. Belenky, A., Competitive strategies of U.S. Presidential candidates in election campaigns, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 49, p. 993-1008, 2005.
50. Belenky, A., An approach to planning an advertising campaign of goods and services, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 42, (67), 993-1008.
51. Belenky, A., Belenkii I., Optimization of planning an advertising campaign of goods and services \ *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* 35, p. 1391–1403, 2002.
52. Belenky, A., *Operations Research in Transportation Systems: Ideas and Schemes of Optimization methods for Strategic Planning and Operations Management* Kluwer Academic Publishers Dordrecht /Boston /London, 1998.
53. Coffman, E., Csirik, J., Johnson, D., Woeginger, G. An introduction to Bin Packing, *Symposium, A Quarterly Journal In Modern Foreign Literatures*, 93 (4), p. 1-50, 2004.
54. Belenky, A. The 2004 election: local polls and campaign strategies, *Brookline Bulletin*, 2, (29), July, 22, p. 4, 2004.
55. Bennett, R. Popular election of the President without a constitutional amendment, In: *The Longest Night. Politics and Perspectives on Election*. Editors Jacobson, A. and Rosenfeld, M., University of California Press, Berkeley, Los Angeles, London, p. 391-396, 2002.
56. Amar, A., Amar, V., How to achieve direct national election of the President without amending the Constitution, Part Three Of A Three-part Series On The 2000 Election And The Electoral College, Findlaw, December 28, 2001. <http://writ.news.findlaw.com/amar/20011228.html>
57. Belenky, A., Belenky: The Achilles Heel of the popular vote plan, *Daily News Tribune* \ January 30, 2009.
58. Belenky, A. Alexander S. Belenky: For national vote plan, all states must consent, *Buffalo News*, April 7, 2009.
59. The Electoral College Experts Debate and Audience Dialogue (Part 4), *MIT World*, 2008.
60. Belenky, A., Belenky: Is the National Popular Vote unconstitutional?, *MetroWest Daily News* November 15, 2011.
61. *Gray v. Sanders*, 372 U.S. 368 (1963), <http://caselaw.lp.find-law.com/>
62. *Bush et al. v. Gore et al.*—531 U.S. 98 United State Government Printing Office, 2001.
63. Ross, T. Is Pennsylvania hijacking the presidential election? *National Review Online*, September 16, 2011.
64. *Reynolds v. Sims*, 377 U.S. 533 (1964), <http://caselaw.lp.find-law.com/>
65. Mann, I. and Shapley, L. Values of Large Games, VI: Evaluating the Electoral College Exactly, *RAND Corporation Memorandum*, RM-3158-PR, 1962.
66. Taylor, A., Pacelli, A. *Mathematics and Politics: Strategy, Voting, Power, and Proof*, Springer, Heidelberg, New York, Dordrecht, London, 2010
67. *Petty v. Tennessee-Missouri Bridge Commission*, 359 U. S. 275, 1959, <http://caselaw.lp.find-law.com>
68. Statistical Abstract of the United States. The National Data Book, Bureau of Census, 2002.
69. Saad, L. Americans would swap Electoral College for popular vote, *Gallup*, October 24, 2011.
70. *Congressional Record-Senate 1968-1970*, United States Government Printing Office \ Washington, 1970.
71. Best, J., *The Choice of the People? Debating the Electoral College*, Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, Inc., Lanham, MD, 1996.
72. *Preserving Our Institutions. The Continuity of Congress. The First Report of the Continuity of the Government Commission*. An American Enterprise Institute and Brookings Institution Project, American Enterprise Institute, 2003.
73. Rife, D., *Pledge of Allegiance Teaching & Learning Company*, Carthage, IL, 1998.
74. Schlessinger, A. Jr., Fixing the Electoral College, *The Washington Post*, December 19, A39, 2000.
75. *Congressional Record-Senate*, Tuesday, January 20, 1966, United States Government Printing Office, Washington, 1966.

76. Congressional Record-Senate, Friday, February 21, 1966, United States Government Printing Office, Washington, 1966.
77. Brams, S., Fishburn, P. Approval Voting, Springer, Heidelberg, New York, Dordrecht, London, 2007
78. Cronke R. Hicks, J., Re-examining Voter Confidence as a Metric for Election Performance. Reed College and Early Voting Information Center, 2010.
79. Voter Fraud, Intimidation and Suppression in the 2004 President Election, American Center for Voting Rights, Legislative Fund, July 21, 2005.
80. Ceci, S., Kain, E., Jumping on the bandwagon with the underdog: the impact of attitude polls on polling nbehavior, Public Opinion Quarterly, Volume 46, Issue 2, 1982.
81. Linton, M., Making Votes Count: Case for ElectoralReforms, Profile Books., London, UK, 1998. https://www.amazon.com/Making-Votes-Count-ElectoralReform/dp/1861970870/ref=sr_1_fkmr0_1?ie=UTF8&qid=1472994876&sr=8-1-fkmr0&keywords=Making+Votes+Count.+Profile+Books.%2C+London%2C+UK%2C+1998
82. Madhani, A., Voter-ID laws may handicap black voter turnout, Dems fear. USA Today, July 11, 2012.
83. Underhill, W., Voter Identification Requirements | Voter ID Laws, <http://www.ncsl.org/research/elections-and-campaigns/voter-id.aspx#Details>
84. Belenky, A., Larson, R., To Queue or Not to Queue? In a U.S. presidential election, that should NOT be a question \ OR/MS Today 33 (3), p. 30-35, 2006.
85. Belenky A., Larson, R., Faulty system for democracy, The Boston Herald, February 10, 2007.
86. Belenky, A., Larson, R., Voting shouldn't require a heroic act of patience, The Christian Science Monitor, September 12, 2006.
87. Help America Vote Act of 2002, Public Law 107-252, 107th Congress, October 29, 2002.
88. Belenky, A., Larson, R., Voting standards are the key to avoiding long lines on Election Day, Plain Dealer, May 8, 2009.
89. Fair, R. Predicting Presidential Elections and Other Things, Second Edition, Stanford Economics and Finance, 2011.
90. Stewart III, C., Voting Technologies, American Review of Political Science, Volume 14, p.353-378, 2011.
91. Kumar, S., Walia, E., Analysis of Electronic Voting Systems in Several Countries, International Journal on Computer Science and Engineering, Volume 3, 5, 2011.
92. Bachner, J., From Classroom to Voting Booth: The Effect of High School Civic Education on Turnout, September 12, 2010. <http://www.gov.harvard.edu/files/Bachner%20Civic%20Education%20Article.pdf>
93. Kiouisis S., McDevitt, M., Agenda Setting in Civic Development. Effect of Curricula and Issue Importance on Youth Voter Turnout, Communication Research, p. 1-22, 2008.
94. Chandler, D., Galts, C. MIT launches student-produced educational video initiative, MIT News, April 25, 2012. <http://web.mit.edu/newsoffice/2012/k-12-education-video-initiative-0425.html>
95. ProCon <http://2012election.procon.org/view.resource.php?resourceID=004483>
96. GALLUP <http://www.gallup.com/poll/188096/democratic-republican-identification-near-historical-lows.aspx>
97. League of Women Voters, <http://lwv.org/press-releases/league-refuses-help-perpetrate-fraud>
98. Federal Election Commission, FEC Record: Litigation, <http://www.fec.gov/>
99. Easley, J. and Kamisar B., Third-party candidates face uphill climb to get place on presidential debate stage, The Hill, May 12, 2016.
100. Commission on Presidential Debates. Commission on Presidential Debates Announces 2016 Nonpartisan Candidate Selection Criteria, Forms Working Group on Format, Oct, 29, 2015 <http://www.debates.org/index.php?mact=News.cntnt01,detail,0&cntnt01articleid=58&cntnt01origid=15&cntnt01detailtemplate=newspage&cntnt01returnid=80>

101. Wright, D. Poll: Trump, Clinton score historic unfavorable ratings, CNN Politics, March 22, 2016 <http://edition.cnn.com/2016/03/22/politics/2016-election-poll-donald-trump-hillary-clinton/>
102. Change the Rule, <http://www.changetherule.org/>
103. G. Farah, No Debate: How the Republican and Democratic Parties Secretly Control the Presidential Debates, Seven Stories Press, 2004
104. B. Montopoli, Do the debates unfairly shut out third parties?, CBS News, October 15, 2012, <http://www.cbsnews.com/news/do-the-debates-unfairly-shut-out-third-parties/>

Index

0-1

1787 Constitutional Convention, 3–5, 30, 35, 37, 43, 69
1825 rules, 36, 49, 131

A

Abstaining electors, 25, 26
Apportionment, 8, 39, 65

B

“Battleground” states, 44, 45, 79, 91, 97, 99, 119, 120, 132, 136, 145, 147, 149
Breaking a tie, 14, 30, 70

C

Chief Executive, 6, 10, 22, 35, 121, 137, 140
Choosing electors, 33, 124
Committee of Eleven, 4, 8
Congressional Districts, 5, 32, 33, 43, 44, 64, 67, 68, 114, 117, 142
Constitution
Article 1, 2, 14, 30, 116
Article 2, 2, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15–17, 21, 27, 28, 31, 32, 36, 37, 104, 106, 116, 129, 137, 139, 141, 146
Article 5, 124
Amendment 12, 37, 128, 139
Amendment 20, 28, 37
Amendment 22, 37
Amendment 23, 3, 31, 39, 141
Amendment 25, 38, 39
Fourteenth Amendment, 39, 94, 104, 106, 108, 109, 122, 147
Twelfth Amendment, 16, 19–21, 24, 26–29, 31, 36, 38, 39, 48, 49, 51, 53, 54, 56, 59, 90, 126, 129, 131, 139, 143
Twentieth Amendment, 17, 28, 36, 38, 48, 50, 51, 53–55, 57–59, 61, 136, 140

Twenty-Fifth Amendment, 38, 39, 41, 50, 53, 54, 56, 57

Contingent elections, 48, 60
Counting electoral votes in Congress, 25, 49, 134

D

Direct popular election, 7, 42, 43, 65, 66, 68, 73, 93, 98, 99, 107, 119, 120, 124, 144
Districting 86, 118, 133
Dole plan 141
Dole plan, 127
Double-balloting principle, 27, 31

E

Election campaigns, 45, 75, 76, 79, 92, 99, 136, 145, 146, 151, 155
Election in congress
in the House of Representatives, 4, 5, 8, 12, 13, 17, 23, 26, 31, 32, 36, 39, 41, 43, 48, 50, 52, 68, 89, 90, 97, 120–122, 124, 128, 129, 134, 136, 143, 154
in the Senate, 14, 21, 29, 31, 41, 43, 50, 60, 127
Election power, 31, 124
Election stalemates, 17, 41, 48, 61
Electoral tie, 27
Equal Protection Clause, 94, 104, 108, 122, 147
Executive power, 6, 140
Extreme election strategies, 76

F

Faithless electors, 25, 143
Federal System Plan, 126, 136
Founding Fathers, 1, 4–6, 8, 10, 14, 17, 19, 22, 31, 35, 42, 43, 69, 89, 97, 121, 123, 129, 131, 137, 140, 150, 154

G

- Gallup Polls, 121, 135
- Gerrymandering, 44
- Great Compromise, 5, 6, 8, 32, 35, 42, 43, 49, 71, 97, 124

L

- Large states, 5, 69, 72, 124, 135, 136
- League of Women Voters, 151

M

- Majority, 4, 6, 9, 11, 13, 14, 17, 21, 23, 25, 26, 29, 30, 32, 36, 41, 43, 47, 48, 50, 60, 67, 73, 76, 90, 94, 96, 98, 100, 104, 107, 126, 127, 131, 141, 149
- Methods of awarding state electoral votes
 - automatic plan, 125, 126, 137
 - Maine-like district method, 45, 97, 125, 133, 134
 - National Bonus Plan, 71, 125
 - Proportional method, 45, 97, 125, 132, 133
 - “winner-take-all” method, 20, 33–35, 44, 64, 68, 71, 90, 97–99, 101, 105, 107, 108, 113, 120, 133, 134, 147
- Modified election system, 124, 127, 128, 130, 131, 134–137

N

- National popular vote (NPV) plan
 - constitutional challenges, 104
 - legal challenges, 111
 - the “Achilles heel” of, 101, 102
- National Televised Presidential Debates, 139, 146, 151, 153
- Non-voters, xii, 110
- NPV movement, 95, 96

O

- “One person, one vote”, 7, 135, 136, 145
- “One state, one vote”, 7, 31, 35, 136

P

- Pledged electors, 23, 124
- Plenary right of the state legislatures, 107
- Plurality, 6, 33, 65, 67, 73, 79, 91, 95, 96, 99, 105, 109–111, 113, 117, 122, 127, 129, 132
- Political parties, 17, 31, 40, 145, 151, 153
- Popular vote, 23, 25, 32, 33, 40, 41, 44, 64, 65, 67–69, 94, 100, 102, 111, 112, 117, 120, 122, 125, 126, 128, 130, 135, 136, 144
- Presidency, 6, 10, 19, 25, 73, 76, 87, 89, 90, 98, 129, 131, 135, 149

- Presidential candidates, 9, 23, 25, 33, 40, 42, 44, 48, 64, 70, 82, 90, 98, 102, 104, 109, 113, 125, 128, 133, 137, 144, 145, 147, 151–153

Presidential election

- 2000, 24, 25, 92, 93, 117, 122, 124, 133, 141, 144, 146, 148, 150
- 2004, 41, 64, 66–68, 72, 92, 108, 135, 148
- 2008, 18, 44, 51, 67, 72, 99, 114, 132, 143, 149
- 2012, 150
- Presidential electors, 2, 4, 9, 15, 17, 20, 23, 29, 31, 35, 40, 42, 64, 69, 72, 90, 93, 97, 100, 103, 105–107, 115, 128, 141, 142

Presidential mandate to govern, 6

- Presidential Succession Act, 38, 48, 51, 53, 54, 56, 59–61, 140

President of an electoral majority in the Electoral College, 129–132**President of the people, 129–132, 134****President of the states, 129, 131, 135, 136****Proportional plan 138****“Pseudo-electoral votes”, 125, 128, 130, 131, 134****R**

- Right to vote, 39, 106, 107, 109, 125, 137, 145
- Run-off elections, 41, 121, 127

S

- “Safe” states, 101, 119, 120, 145
- Senatorial electoral votes, 69
- Separation of powers, 43
- Slavery, 7, 8, 39
- Small states, 4, 5, 9, 46, 69, 99, 100, 120, 124, 133, 135, 136, 147
- State legislature, 4, 6, 9, 31, 44, 64, 65, 93, 95, 97, 99, 101, 102, 106, 109, 110, 115, 124, 135, 141, 144, 145
- Suffrage, 22, 107, 110, 111, 135
- Supreme Court decision
 - on *Bush v. Gore*, 103, 109, 110
 - on *Gray v. Sanders*, 108, 133
 - on *McPherson v. Blacker*, 22, 35
 - on *Petty v. Tennessee-Missouri Bridge Construction*, 116
 - on *Ray v. Blair*, 22, 35
 - on *Wesberry v. Sanders*
- Swing voters, 145

T

- Three-fifth clause, 5

Transfer of electoral votes, 23

Two-party elections, 70

V

Vice presidency, 19

Voter queues, 145, 148, 149

Voter turnout, 40, 123, 128–131, 135–137,
139, 146, 148, 150

Voting-age population, 40, 106

Voting machines, 149, 150

Voting power indices

 Banzhaf power index, 70

 Shapley-Shubik power index, 70

Voting standards, 117, 149

W

Wasted votes, 136